

Canadian National Socialist Party (CNSP)



Chairman:

Kuan-Chyun (K. C.) Cheng, M.D., B.A.

(15/8/2004)

THE CANADIAN NATIONAL SOCIALIST PARTY (CNSP) CENTRAL MANIFESTO:

Respecting the nagging issue of "becoming the 51st state of the U.S.A.," our policy and slogan is this: No takeover or annexation before UN referendum!

It's for the Canadian public to decide whether to join the USA. It's their supreme right firmly entrenched in UN statutes. No nation can annex Canada without 67% approval in a UN-supervised plebiscite, certainly not by force or deceit. Otherwise, no member nation of the UN shall recognize any "annexation" and any annexed state shall continue to be treated by all of them as an independent sovereign. Also, UN may have to or be able to dispatch UN troops to deter this type of aggression.

Any infiltration by whatever means into the Canadian government to implement policies or promulgate any laws to undermine Canadian independence, thereby causing the public to be deceived, misled, induced or forced into taking a pro-annexation position which they would not have otherwise taken had they been under genuine Canadian leadership and government is an act of aggression, a takeover of a nation by foreigners before and without request by an overwhelming number of Canadians. Any referendum favouring joining the U.S.A. in a UN-sponsored vote following, not before or without, this *takeover d'aggression* cannot be valid or UN-recognized, but a product of aggression and therefore a fraud.

Since right now 95% of Canadians are opposed to becoming Americans, clearly for so long as we persist in patriotic Canadianism, Canada will be forever separate from the U.S.A., forever to be governed for and by the people who have come to cherish a set of national values radically different from those of our southern neighbour. Friends or family we may be, but spouses we are not. We have the right to liberty, to be free, to be not "married to" and controlled (governed) by those violating our rights and freedoms or forcing us to adopt their way of life.

Unless we want to, we shall never be Americans. If only we, particularly our governments, do not deliberately betray our desire to be independent or fail to exercise due diligence, this nation is guaranteed to be ours. Who says it's inevitable that Canada will become a part of the U.S.A.? Given the current international state of affairs, U.S.A. can never annex Canada. Because there is no reason to believe that the current state of international balance of power will falter in the future, no reason exists to suspect that U.S.A. can bypass the UN path to annex Canada. We the Canadians wield the ultimate power to maintain Canadian independence. We don't even need weapons of mass

destruction. To win we need only our desire. Ballots have replaced bullets. Vote for Canada and we shall never become their 51st state. Never say "yes" to "Do you want to join the U.S.A.?" There is nothing they can do about it.

Short of any delusive Canadian initiative to militarily invade the USA, there can be no legitimate or sufficient reason for the USA to militarily conquer Canada and thereafter induce an annexation by a UN-supervised referendum. (16/8/2004)

That's why ours is "Canada's party of hope," not wishy washy without winning strategies: Not a party of despair, but a party of hope, finding that ray of hope in a tempest of uncertainty.

But, aren't we doing it too early? Isn't the USA Canada's "best friend" and "family" and therefore ain't there no need to do anything to prevent an untimely demise?

The answer lies in the CIA's proven persistence to seize Canada by means other than democratic. This can be seen in the case of their persecuting me to get to Canada.(See below)

When Chretien was the Prime Minister, he decided not to pursue the case in hopes of "If we let them go, they won't coup us."(1998. See 1998 background info at this web site) Unfortunately, the CIA saw this as a golden opportunity to "得寸进尺 (Given an inch, get a foot)." Subsequently, as "Martin wants to be the Prime Minister, it's his job;" he didn't do anything concrete before leaving the office. Now that Paul Martin is the Prime Minister, given the chance and necessity to do it, would he do any better to ensure no "fraud" to annex Canada? We'll have to wait and see. In the meantime, we seize this opportunity to promote Canadianism our own way. After all, this is still a democratic society where people can have their own parties to advance their political agenda. Under such circumstances, through legitimate party politics power can be attained for people to implement their policies. Without that political power, we cannot do anything that only people in authority can do with their eyes closed.

So, go out now and organize among yourselves. I'll continue putting out party policies. When popular enough, you people could have M.P.s elected into the House of Commons.

16/8/2004:

What has the CIA done to necessitate ejection?

Ever since 1967, they have allied themselves with and used Nazi and neonazi remnants to poke a hole in Canada's sovereignty. Nazis of course pick who's convenient or "safe" for them. As a young smily and naive-looking medical student, I was their perfect prey to be the pawn in their game against Canada. Avoiding insulting the majority "white folks," they scapegoated me a "Chinaman" (quotation marked phrases in their parlance) to be *at the head but not the head* of their revolt. They want the white man to trust them, love them, not suspect them, and a "Chinaman" to suffer until they can grab the white man's power. Another, more recent scenario is just using me to test Canadian resolve. The less resistance they meet from the Government of Canada, the quicker they would demand the Canadian Prime Minister to step aside for their CIA men to take over the Prime Minister's Office (PMO). Alternatively, the Prime Minister could voluntarily reduce the PMO to the status of the office of the state governor in the U.S.A. No more Canada. So simple!

They had wished that the "white man" would look at a "Chinaman's" sufferings with less empathy or even with indifference. "Just a little Chinaman, nothing to do with you white folks" ----when they are actually shamelessly and brutally violating a great Canadian's most fundamental human rights. If a Chinese Canadian is not a Canadian, not treated as Canadian when it comes to rights and freedoms, are we saying that Chinese Canadians have no civil rights and liberties as Canadians? Are we saying that they have no protection as human beings or Canadians under Canadian law? The extreme nature of this type of racist discrimination and mentality is so perverse that it smells like Nazi Germans' treatment of the Jews in the Hitler era (1930's to 1944). They were persecuted by the system instead of being protected by its laws: systemic discrimination and persecution, denuded of the rights and privileges of their fellow German compatriots.

The CIA and Nazis expected no Canadian government intervention on my behalf and wished Canada when faced with threats from them would turn a blind eye towards this atrocious incident while hoping for them not to coup. Then they turn around to accuse the Canadian government of being racist: "Just a little Chinaman." That's divide and rule to

turn me and others against Canada. This is also an essential element in their plot to alienate Canada from the rest of the world. (17/8/2004)

By the way, just in this matter alone, this “Chinaman” is no less Canadian than any other Canadian, white or not. For Canada, for just being a Canadian unyielding in face of enemy demands, I have not surrendered. For my loyalty, I therefore have suffered more than most Canadians. Others have not yet been required to pay such a hefty price for being not Americans. Like I said, they cannot afford to offend the “white” majority” at the outset. Only at the end would they show their true colour and make demands on those having mistaken them for being “the best friends,” or “family.”

That’s why satellite-delivered electronic invasion of my privacy persisted for decades to disturb me, monitor my activities, torture me for not obeying them, and then blame the Canadian government for not speaking up on my behalf. Their strategy and intent is to discriminate, deceive (me into hating Canada), divide, disable (me and the Canadian government), demoralize (for Canadians to think they can take over Canada with one stroke and therefore for them to give Canada up to them), and secure a chance to bypass the UN referendum process to annex Canada.

When Canada lets them get away with all these, they are law-lords (above law in Canada), in fact reducing Canada into their colony. That’s how colonial rules are exercised: the colonial power has the authority of law over its conquered people. They are the law for others to obey.

Once given immunity or cover-up for their crimes against humanity, they feel safer to exaggerate their evil power to do other, more direct crimes to force the Canadian Government to its knees. Disrespecting, dehumanizing and torturing one little helpless Canadian was just their test to gain unusual powers against Canada. It’s like a home-invader first taking away someone’s light bulbs on the front lawn to see if he/she would react. If no reaction, next steps would be taken to break and enter. If the CIA can get away with such a fantastic crime against humanity on Canadian soil for so long, what else can’t they do and who out there is going to stop them? This little victim can go, but the rest of Canada watch out. You are the next--- particularly the Prime Minister of Canada. (17/8/2004)

This is why the Canadian government must take action to maintain law, protect its citizens and residents from CIA violation of their human and civil rights and liberties, and prevent a coup that could occur when they are being condoned as lawlords. That’s suicide. Foreign lawlords can colonize a nation not for Canada or Canadians but for another country. Control or be controlled. That’s why there are laws against treason. But if treason has been legitimized, traitors and foreign powers seize control. The Parliament and laws of this land would be *de facto* vitiated by their otherwise “criminal activities” now legitimized by Canadian failure to restrain them with the laws of Canada still in existence but no longer being enforced and therefore no longer enforceable. People now must kowtow to and obey these new masters whose edicts are above the laws of Canada. Where does the PMO stand now? Nothing but a pitiful little museum piece in Canadian history. Canada would cease to exist and they could get away with annexation without prior UN referendum.

Just look at the case of Iraq. Now the American administration admits being misled by the CIA into believing that Iraq had weapons of mass destruction. If you look at my related articles of those pre-war days on my webpages, you’ll find that I correctly predicted that Iraq did not have them. The CIA merely made up all the lies to justify an American invasion. Iraq also had representatives at the UN Security Council to denounce American “evidence” as lies. Did the UN security council buy Iraq’s refutation, or did it go along with the USA? The latter was to go alone without any UN approval and it did so. Similarly, with American clout, would the USA not get away with another CIA fabrication against Canada should the USA present a case of “overwhelming Canadian majority endorsement for an American annexation of Canada” to the UN Security Council and General Assembly?

This is another reason why it’s mandatory for the Canadian Government to bring evidence to a public trial for all to see its veracity and sufficiency. Otherwise, would the rest of the world believe me or go along with a superpower’s CIA fabrication? The answer is obvious.

If the Canadian government institutes a course of necessary action, they must back off: Because indisputably they are illegitimate and Canadians have not invited them in, nor can they get in. No UN resolution would ever see the light of the day to approve any annexation, or rather “the rape of Canada”

16 Aug 98/Sun/7:35pm & 17/8/2004:

As a Canadian citizen since 19, I have been a Canadian for more years than possibly 30% of Canadians born in Canada.

That is the whole point in the CIA's forcing me into their path to betray this nation. Should I have no right to question as to why I as a Canadian am disallowed to be a Canadian? Which nation, which power now can dictate to all peoples of the world that they must become its citizens, that they have ceased to have their own freedom of choice over nationalities and self-determination? I certainly have demonstrated that even the CIA has not yet acquired that almighty power. I do not promote the concept of God. But the reality is that irrespective of whether God exists, most peoples of the world share only one kingdom in common--- the Kingdom of God. So, forget making all of us Americans.

Are more Canadians to lose their basic human [and civil] rights, and freedoms for being patriotic? Is this not a form of violent or say the least oppressive conquest rather than "peaceful annexation?" Would people truly welcome such rude intruders *now using electronic weapons from outer space to violate and intimidate them?* Should many Canadians die in the event of a "coup?" Would not that have been a "war" rather than peace? If war, how could there ever be a peaceful annexation? Unless the Canadians truly want to join the USA for reasons other than fear, or having been deceived or cajoled, unless the Canadians "love" American values, genuinely decent, peace-loving values of humanity, and unless the Canadians are convinced that by joining the USA they would gain whatever they desperately need, there can be no voluntary joining the USA by Canada. (17 Aug 98/Mon/10:10am)

Really, with respect to the preceding, what does the USA have that the Canadians don't? Canada has a lot of humanitarian peace-loving virtues. Or, do we want their intimidation of Canadians, violence, fascism, wars, intrusion into Canadian lives and sovereignty?

16/8/2004:

If force or deceit is ever used to subjugate or conquer Canada, I propose never to surrender. To say “yes” in that scenario is not to join a friend but capitulate into an enemy. The UN provision for annexation of one nation by another is based on people’s desire to join a friend, not to surrender to a conquest or to an enemy. If the CIA want to succeed in getting Canadians to say yes out of their love of the USA, they have a long way to go yet. I don’t know whether ever they would have that human quality for us to like them enough for us to be a part of their empire.

Kuan-Chyun Cheng(C) 2004, can be reproduced for free distribution to recruit or educate members of the World Socialist Party and any of its divisions particularly the Canadian National Socialist Party.